Update on the United States University Bimonthly Report August 2017

Overview of this Report

This agenda item presents information on the bimonthly report submitted by the United States University as required by the COA.

Staff Recommendation

This is an action item; however, no action is required at this time. Staff has reviewed the report and action plans submitted by the United States University pursuant to COA direction in March 2017.

Background

In March 2017, the Committee on Accreditation, on behalf of the Commission on Teacher Credentialing, assigned the status of *Accreditation with Major Stipulations* to the United States University. The COA placed the following stipulations on the United States University (USU):

- A revisit will take place no later than October 1, 2017. The revisit team will review the full 2015 Common Standards for United States University.
- As part of the revisit, United States University must provide evidence that a comprehensive and unit-wide assessment and evaluation system that addresses all credential programs is implemented and guides program improvement;
- United States University is required to submit bimonthly reports on the progress toward implementing an assessment system that includes the following:
 - A system that utilizes data on candidate and completer performance at the program and unit levels;
 - A system that collects, aggregates and utilizes data related to unit operations;
 - A system the collects, aggregates and utilizes data related to program effectiveness.

The bimonthly reports are due on June 1, 2017 and August 1, 2017. The COA discussed the <u>first</u> report at its June 2017 meeting. The August 2017 report includes Benchmarks for the assessments. Information in the table that is new is presented in red font.

Next Steps

The revisit has been scheduled for September 27-29, 2017 and the report from the revisit will be on the November 2017 agenda.

Appendix A



Teaching Credentialing Preparation Program
Progress Report: August 1, 2017

In Response to the Commission on Teacher Credentialing's Monitoring Requirement of March 30, 2017

During the period since the June report, the COE and the TCPP have focused on fleshing out the details of the assessment system submitted in that report. This includes the following activities:

- Setting benchmarks
- Reviewing institutional, unit, and program assessment instruments (primarily surveys and rubrics)
- Preliminary review of past data to assist in the benchmarking
- · Planning for the creation of additional instruments
- Announcement of program improvement committee at TCPP faculty meeting in June and solicitation of volunteers
- Initial discussion of usefulness of End of Course TPE Assessment at June faculty meeting

What follows are updates (in red) to the materials submitted in June.

Table 1: Data and Implementation Status [Updated 8/1/2017]

Update Notes for Table 1:

Benchmarks identified at COE Curriculum Committee, July 17, 2017

Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
1. A system	1	•		1	T THE PROGRAM AND U	
A. Cohort Demographic Data	CampusVue reports (Collection annually in June)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Under Development	Annual Aggregate Cohort Demographic Report (disaggregate d for MS/SS/BA)	Candidate demographic data compared to published public school student and teacher data [CalEdFacts]. Opportunities for marketing identified to bring candidate diversity closer to current student trends. [Comparative table to be available for site visit in Sept.]	This data has been collected since Cohort 10 (2010-11); going forward, will be included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October) and any recommendations shared with Marketing and Enrollment, and Student Services. Data for 2016-17 will also be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee committee meeting due to time constraints at June meeting.]
B.Candidate End of Course Evaluations	EvaluationKIT (Collection at end of every course)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Implemented (semi-monthly); Annual report due October	Annual Aggregate Candidate End of Course Evaluations Report	Course Content, each: 4.75; Student Experience, each: 4.5; Instructor Eval, each: 4.6; Brainfuse: 3, 50%; Online Experience, NA, 3.75, 3.8, 3.75, 3.75; Overall Means, each: 4.75 [Based on instrument parameters and past data]	This data has been collected electronically since 2015; session-by-session reports have been analyzed in semi-monthly unit curriculum meetings since Summer 1 session, 2017; going forward annual analysis will be included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
C. Student Satisfaction	Student Satisfaction	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented	Annual Aggregate	Overall Experience with USU Faculty;	This data has been collected electronically and shared with

Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
Surveys	Survey (Collected bi- annually)		Analysis and Planning: Implemented	Student Satisfaction Survey Results Report	Overall Educational Experience; Value of Course Content; Instruction; Fair/Unbiased Faculty; Faculty Caring; Faculty Timeliness all 90% Top2box [Based on instrument parameters and past data]	the university community for program improvement; going forward, analysis will be recorded in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
D. On-time Completion Rate	CampusVue reports (Collected bi- annually)	Institutional Research	Implemented	Annual Aggregate On-time Completion Rate Report	90% [Baseline established from current data]	This data has been collected electronically and shared with the university community for program improvement; going forward, analysis will be recorded in semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes and in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
E.TPE Clinical Observations	TPE Clinical Observation Forms (Collected Spring and Fall)	Master Teacher and University Supervisor	Implemented Note: Form under revision for 2016 TPEs	Aggregate data report from TPE Clinical Observation forms	1st Observation: 80% at "applying"; 2nd Observation: 80% at "target" [Based on institutional PLO standard]	The Observation forms are completed during student teaching twice each by the Master Teacher and the University Supervisor. Student teaching is a total of 16 weeks and is divided into Practicum I and Practicum II. Data for 2016-17 will also be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program improvement committee

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
F.	Candidate End-of- Program Portfolio	Candidate Portfolios (collected Spring and Fall)	Candidate Program Director	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Under Development	Aggregate data report on completions	95% of students complete required portfolio elements without requiring revision. [Based on instrument parameters and past data]	meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee meeting due to time constraints at June meeting.] This data has been collected since Cohort 10 (2010-11); going forward, analysis will be included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October). Data for 2016-17 will also be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee committee meeting due to time constraints at June meeting.]
G.	Candidate Exit Survey	SurveyMonkey (collected Spring and Fall)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Under Development	Aggregate survey data report	80% of students "agree" or "strongly agree" to exit survey questions. [Based on institutional PLO standard]	This data has been collected since Cohort 10 (2010-11); going forward, analysis will be included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October). Data for 2016-17 will also be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee committee meeting due to time

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
							constraints at June meeting.]
Н.	CAL TPAs 1-	Taskstream	Program	Collection:	Bi-annual	Per Task: 80% Group	This data has been collected
	<u>4</u>	(collected Spring	Director	Implemented	Aggregate	Result	since Cohort 10 (2010-11); Data
		and Fall)			CAL TPA data	[Baseline established	from the spring is currently
				Analysis and	report	from current data]	being collected and will be
				Planning: In			analyzed for the August progress
				process			report at the June TCPP program
							improvement committee
							meeting.
							This item was tabled for future
							program improvement
							committee meeting due to time
							constraints at June meeting.]
	-			es data related to l	JNIT OPERATION		
A.	Applicant	Velocify (to be	Institutional	Planning	Aggregated	[Benchmark awaiting	Still in planning stage as we have
	Data	collected	Research		Applicant	creation of	adopted a new CRM system to
		annually in			Data Report	instrument]	track this kind of data. Projected
		June)					pilot collect late summer.
							Once implemented, analysis will
							be recorded in the semi-monthly
							unit curriculum committee
							minutes and included in the
							Annual Program Strategic Report
							(October); any
							recommendations shared with
							Marketing and Enrollment, and
				51 .		to 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Student Services.
В.	Admissions	Velocify	Institutional	Planning	Aggregated	[Benchmark awaiting	Still in planning stage as we have
	Data	(to be collected	Research		Admissions	creation of	adopted a new CRM system to
		annually in			Data Report	instrument]	track this kind of data. Projected
		June)					pilot collect late summer.
							Once implemented, analysis will

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
C.	Retention	CampusVue	Institutional	Collection:	Annual	Retention: 60%;	be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes and included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October); any recommendations shared with Marketing and Enrollment, and Student Services. Session-by-session reports have
	and Persistence Rates	(collected every session)	Research	Implemented Analysis and Planning: Implemented (semi-monthly); Annual report due October	Aggregate Retention and Persistence Report	Persistence: 85% [Baseline established from current data]	been analyzed in semi-monthly unit curriculum meetings since Summer 1 session, 2017; going forward annual analysis will be included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
	On-time Completion Rate	CampusVue reports (collected bi- annually)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: In process	Annual Aggregate On-time Completion Rate Report	90% [Baseline established from current data]	This data has been collected electronically and shared with the university community for program improvement; going forward, analysis will be recorded in semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes and in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
E.	Faculty Performanc e Evaluations	Adjuncts: Self- Assessment Evaluation form (collected bi- annually); Core: Portfolios &	Provost's Office	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: In process	Aggregate Annual Program Faculty Evaluation Report	Adjuncts: 90% retained without reservation (based on appreciative inquiry self-evaluation combined with	2016-17 Evaluations will be completed by July 1; Data will be shared with unit curriculum committee and analysis reported in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
		Teaching Performance rubric (collected annually)				director and student feedback). Core: 90% of the faculty score "satisfactory" or higher on Domain 1 (teaching and advising), Domain 2 (shared governance) and Domain 3-5 (scholarly, community, professional) [Based on institutional standard]	
F.	Fieldwork Site Data	SurveyMonkey (collected annually)	Institutional Research	Planning	Aggregated Site Data Report	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes as well as the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
G.	Student Satisfaction Surveys	Student Satisfaction Survey (Collected biannually)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Implemented	Annual Aggregate Student Satisfaction Survey Results Report	Overall Experience with USU Faculty; Overall Educational Experience; Value of Course Content; Instruction; Fair/Unbiased Faculty; Faculty Caring; Faculty Timeliness all 90% Top2box [Based on instrument	This data has been collected electronically and shared with the university community for program improvement; going forward, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes and in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
						parameters and past data]	
H.	Master Teacher Survey	CTC (Collected annually)	Program Director	Planning	CTC Master Survey report	80% "well-prepared" or above. [Based on institutional PLO standard]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes and in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October) as well as in the Program Improvement Committee meeting minutes.
1.	District Partnership Survey	SurveyMonkey (collected annually)	Institutional Research	Planning	Aggregated District Partnership Report	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes as well as the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
J.	Employer Survey	SurveyMonkey (collected annually)	Institutional Research	Planning	Aggregated Employer Survey Report	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes as well as the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
K.	Unit-wide Professional Developme nt: Technology in the Classroom	Faculty Technology Expert	Program Director, Faculty	September 2017	N/A	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Unit faculty are tentatively scheduled to have "Technology in the Classroom" training in September 2017. The training will be provided by our current faculty technology expert and will include an overview of the

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
							uses of different types of instructional technology for the college classroom.
	3. A system	that collects, aggre	gates, and util	izes data related to	PROGRAM EFFI	ECTIVENESS	
Α.	Candidate End of Course Evaluations	EvaluationKIT (Collection at end of every course)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Implemented (semi-monthly); Annual report due October	Annual Aggregate Candidate End of Course Evaluations Report	Course Content, each: 4.75; Student Experience, each: 4.5; Instructor Eval, each: 4.6; Brainfuse: 3, 50%; Online Experience, NA, 3.75, 3.8, 3.75, 3.75; Overall Means, each: 4.75 [Based on instrument parameters and past data]	This data has been collected electronically since 2015; session-by-session reports have been analyzed in semi-monthly unit curriculum meetings since Summer 1 session, 2017; going forward annual analysis will be included in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
	Student Satisfaction Surveys	Student Satisfaction Survey (Collected bi- annually)	Institutional Research	Collection: Implemented Analysis and Planning: Implemented	Annual Aggregate Student Satisfaction Survey Results Report	Overall Experience with USU Faculty; Overall Educational Experience; Value of Course Content; Instruction; Fair/Unbiased Faculty; Faculty Caring; Faculty Timeliness all 90% Top2box [Based on instrument parameters and past data]	This data has been collected electronically and shared with the university community for program improvement; going forward, analysis will be recorded in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
C.	End of Course TPE Assessment	End of Course TPE Rubric in LMS	Course Faculty	Collection: Implemented	End of Course TPE Rubric	Program Midpoint: 80% at "exploring"; Program Endpoint:	Currently, at the end of every TCPP course, faculty complete an "End of Course TPE

Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
	(Collected at end of every course)		Analysis and Planning: Implemented		80% at "applying" [Based on institutional PLO standard]	Assessment" for each candidate. They rate each candidate based on the TPEs and the "Continuum of Pedagogical Practice" or TPE rubric. The rubric lists the TPEs along with the various levels of progress through the continuum. Candidates are rated as follows: • Emerging • Exploring • Integrating • Innovating As per the continuum expectations, "The complexity of teaching makes it unlikely that credential candidates will demonstrate a level of teaching beyond 'Applying.'" The TCPP Director is able to download raw data from Blackboard and have it aggregated for review and use. It should be noted that the faculty intend to take up the purpose, scalability, and efficacy of this assessment at its June 2017 faculty meeting for possible elimination.
						Update: Faculty indicated at the

Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
TPE Clinical Observation	TPE Clinical Observation Forms (Collected Spring and Fall)	Master Teacher and University Supervisor	Implemented Note: Form under revision for 2016 TPEs	Aggregate data report from TPE Clinical Observation forms	1st Observation: 80% at "applying"; 2nd Observation: 80% at "target" [Based on institutional PLO standard]	June faculty meeting that they found value in this assessment but agreed that a less cumbersome rubric would better serve both faculty and students. A faculty volunteered a draft of a new version of the rubric to be presented at the fall faculty meeting. Going forward, analysis will be discussed at unit curriculum committee and recorded in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October). The Observation forms are completed during student teaching twice each by the Master Teacher and the University Supervisor. Student teaching is a total of 16 weeks and is divided into Practicum I and Practicum II. Data for 2016-17 will also be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee meeting.]

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
E	Candidate TPE Self- Assessment	Self- assessments (collected fall and spring)	Candidate & Program Director	Implemented	Aggregated Candidate TPE Self- Assessment Results Report	End of Practicum 1: 80% at "developing"; End of Practicum 2: 80% at "applying" [Based on institutional PLO standard]	At the end of Practicum I and again at the end of Practicum II, candidates use the TPE Clinical Observation form to complete a self-assessment of their progress with TPEs. Candidates are asked to rate themselves as "Beginning," "Developing," or "Applying" in their understanding and utilization of the TPEs in their practicum experience. The TPE results are reviewed by the TCPP Director to monitor candidate progress and discussed with the candidate as part of an observation review. Data for 2016-17 will also be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement
							committee meeting due to time constraints at June meeting.]
F.	CAL TPAs 1- 4	Taskstream (collected Spring and Fall)	Program Director	Collection: Implemented	Bi-annual Aggregate CAL TPA data	Per Task: 80% Group Result [Baseline established	This data has been collected since Cohort 10 (2010-11); Data from the spring is currently
				Analysis and Planning: In process	report	from current data]	being collected and will be analyzed for the August progress report at the June TCPP program

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
							improvement committee meeting. This item was tabled for future program improvement committee meeting due to time constraints at June meeting.]
G.	Master Teacher Survey	CTC (Collected annually)	Program Director	Planning	CTC Master Survey report	80% "well-prepared" or above. [Based on institutional PLO standard]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes and in the Annual Program Strategic Report (October) as well as in the Program Improvement Committee meeting minutes.
H.	District Partnership Survey	Survey Monkey (collected annually)	Institutional Research	Planning	Aggregated District Partnership Report	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes as well as the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
I.	Employer Survey	Survey Monkey (collected annually)	Institutional Research	Planning	Aggregated Employer Survey Report	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly unit curriculum committee minutes as well as the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
J.	Alumni Survey	Survey Monkey (to be collected annually)	Institutional Research	Planning	Aggregated Alumni Survey	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Still in planning stage. Once implemented, analysis will be recorded in the semi-monthly

	Data	Data Source	Responsible Party	Implementation Status	Evidence	Benchmark	Status Narrative
					Response Report		unit curriculum committee minutes as well as the Annual Program Strategic Report (October).
K.	Annual Learning Outcomes Assessment Results	Assessment Report Template (activity year- round; report due in August)	Program Assessment Lead	In process (at Program Level)	Annual Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Report	80% at "3" (0-3 rubrics) [Based on institutional PLO standard]	Annual learning outcomes assessment has been implement for most programs at the institution; TCPP has joined the institutional Assessment Task Force and will perform its first LO assessment cycle in 2017-18. The current Annual Program Learning Outcomes template will be amended to fit the particular needs of the TCPP. Results and recommendations will be included in Annual Program Strategic Report (October); results of all program reports are aggregated in Institutional Learning Outcomes Assessment Report (October).
L.	Institutional Program Review	Program Review Manual & Self- Study Template	Program Director	Implemented	Program Review Self- Study and Improvement Plan	[Benchmark awaiting creation of instrument]	Implemented at the institutional level; All programs at USU are reviewed on a 5-year self-study cycle. TCPP is scheduled for 2020-2021.

Table 2. Academic Affairs Strategic Planning: Evaluation, Assessment, Budgeting, and Planning Cycles (TCPP Version)[Updated 8/1/2017]

The two main assessment activities (learning outcomes assessment and program review) begin their cycles in September to allow for 16 months to complete both the program evaluation and planning processes and the institutional response planning and budgeting processes before the beginning of a USU fiscal year (January 1):

- Initial 12 months: program assessment planning, data collection, evaluation, improvement planning, internal and external reviews, and reporting
- Subsequent 4 months: institutional response, planning, and budgeting

Update Notes for Table 2:

- Added schedule of CTC reports and meetings
- Awaiting more information on data submission requirements from the commission will then be added to calendar

Surveys & Institutional Data	Faculty Activities	Unit Curriculum Planning	Learning Outcomes Assessment	Disciplinary Reporting -	Program Review	Strategic Planning
				ТСРР		
September	September	September	September	Fall or Spring	September-	
Surveys:	Core Meetings:	<u>Meetings</u> :	<u>Preparation</u> :	(Yr 6: 2023/24):	October	
End-of-Course	Academic Affairs;	Core: Previous	Assessment Task	Meetings:	<u>Preparation for</u>	
Surveys: Student	Assessment Task	Session Data; LO	Force:(review of	Site Visit	<u>Current Year's</u>	
& Faculty	Force	Assessment	current year's		<u>Programs</u> :	
<u>Data</u> :	<u>Evaluations</u> :	Results; Program	outcomes & rubrics		PRC & Program	
Monthly KPI	Adjunct (subset)	Review Results (as			Lead Faculty:	
Reports		applicable)			Orientation and	
		All: Biannual			Planning	
		faculty meeting			Data Collection for	
					<u>Current Year's</u>	
October	October	October	October	October 15	programs:	October
Surveys:	Core Meetings:	Reports:	Preparation:	(Yr 5: 2022):	IR: Data Collection	Reports:
End-of-Course	Academic Affairs;	Program Annual	Assessment Task	Reports:	& Distribution	Update
Surveys: Student	Assessment Task	Strategic Reports	Force & Program:	Program	Program Lead:	Institutional and
& Faculty	Force	with Plans (to	revision of outcomes	Review	Non-IR Program	Academic
<u>Data</u> :		Academic	& rubrics		information	Strategic Plan
Monthly KPI		Strategic Planning	Reports:		Budgeting for	tracking report for
					<u>Previous Year's</u>	

Surveys & Institutional Data	Faculty Activities	Unit Curriculum Planning	Learning Outcomes Assessment	Disciplinary Reporting - TCPP	Program Review	Strategic Planning
Reports		Committee)	Annual Institutional Assessment Report for previous year's results (distributed) Meeting: Annual Assessment Summit reviewing and discussing previous year's results		Programs: Provost, CFO, President (based on agreements made at August MOU meeting)	Quarter 3; Implementations: Check on Scheduled activities and Changes to the Academic Strategic Plan made in Quarter 1
November <u>Surveys:</u> End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty <u>Data:</u> Monthly KPI Reports	November <u>Core Meetings</u> : Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force <u>Evaluations</u> : Adjunct (subset)	November Meetings: Core: Previous Session Data; Annual Assessment Summit Plans	November Planning: Program: creating assessment plans for current year Institution: budgeting and planning from previous year's reports		November- December <u>Analysis of Data:</u> Program faculty	November Review: Annual Program Strategic Reports in preparation for January Board of Trustees submission
December Surveys: End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty Data: Monthly KPI Reports	December <u>Core Meetings</u> : Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force		December Reports: Program: Assessment Plans for current year Due December 1 Planning: Institution: budgeting and planning from previous year's			

Surveys & Institutional Data	Faculty Activities	Unit Curriculum Planning	Learning Outcomes Assessment	Disciplinary Reporting - TCPP	Program Review	Strategic Planning
			reports			
Jan 1 Fiscal Year	Jan 1 Fiscal Year	Jan 1 Fiscal Year	Jan 1 Fiscal Year	Jan 1 Fiscal	Jan 1 Fiscal Year	Jan 1 Fiscal Year
Begins	Begins	Begins	Begins	Year Begins	Begins	Begins
January		January	January-April	Fall or Spring	January-April	January
Surveys:		Meetings:	Implementation &	(Yr 6: 2023/24):	<u>Self-Study</u> :	Reports:
End-of-Course		Core: Previous	<u>Collection</u> :	Meetings:	Program faculty	Update
Surveys: Student		Session Data;	Program assessment	Site Visit		Institutional and
& Faculty;		Program Review	leads and program			Academic
Student		Data (as	faculty			Strategic Plan
Satisfaction (run)		applicable)				tracking report for
<u>Data</u> :						Quarter 4;
Monthly KPI						Review Academic
Reports						Strategic Plan for
February	February			February 28		revisions for the
Surveys:	Core Meetings:			(Yr 5: 2023):		coming year
End-of-Course	Academic Affairs;			Reports:		
Surveys: Student	Assessment Task			Common		
& Faculty;	Force			Standards		
Student						
Satisfaction						
(reported)						
<u>Data</u> :						
Monthly KPI						
Reports						

Surveys & Institutional Data	Faculty Activities	Unit Curriculum Planning	Learning Outcomes Assessment	Disciplinary Reporting - TCPP	Program Review	Strategic Planning
March <u>Surveys</u> : End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty <u>Data</u> : Monthly KPI Reports	March Core Meetings: Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force Evaluations: Adjunct (subset) Core: Portfolio Submission Trainings: Core: Assessment Lead Training (as needed)	March Meetings: Core: Previous Session Data; Program Review Update with draft Plan (as applicable)		March 31 (Yrs 1 & 4: 2019 & 2022): <u>Reports:</u> Preconditions		
April Surveys: End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty Data: Monthly KPI Reports	April Core Meetings: Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force Evaluations: Core: Portfolio Review Trainings: Core: Assessment Lead Training (as needed)	April <u>Meetings</u> : All: Biannual faculty meeting				April Reports: Update Institutional and Academic Strategic Plan tracking report for Quarter 1; Implementations: Check on Scheduled activities and Changes to the Academic Strategic Plan made in Quarter 1
May	May	May	May-June		May-June	
<u>Surveys</u> :	Core Meetings:	<u>Meetings</u> :	<u>Scoring</u> :		<u>Reviews</u> :	

Surveys & Institutional Data	Faculty Activities	Unit Curriculum Planning	Learning Outcomes Assessment	Disciplinary Reporting - TCPP	Program Review	Strategic Planning
End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty <u>Data</u> : Monthly KPI Reports	Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force Evaluations: Adjunct (subset) Trainings: Core & Adjunct: Assessment Scoring Training (as needed)	Core: Previous Session Data	Program assessment leads and program faculty		Internal (at least two) and External (at least one) Reviews	
June <u>Surveys</u> : End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty <u>Data</u> : Monthly KPI Reports	June <u>Core Meetings</u> : Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force <u>Trainings</u> : Core & Adjunct: Assessment Scoring Training (as needed)					
July <u>Surveys:</u> End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty <u>Data:</u> Monthly KPI Reports	July <u>Core Meetings:</u> Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force <u>Evaluations:</u> Adjunct (subset)	July Meetings: Core: Previous Session Data; Updated Program Review Plan (as applicable)	July Analysis & Program Planning: Program assessment leads and program faculty (meetings to include adjuncts as needed)		July <u>Final Report</u> <u>Revisions:</u> Program Review Committee& Internal Stakeholders	July Reports: Update Institutional and Academic Strategic Plan tracking report for Quarter 2; Implementations: Check on Scheduled

Surveys & Institutional Data	Faculty Activities	Unit Curriculum Planning	Learning Outcomes Assessment	Disciplinary Reporting - TCPP	Program Review	Strategic Planning
						activities and Changes to the Academic Strategic Plan made in Quarter 1
August Surveys: End-of-Course Surveys: Student & Faculty Data: Monthly KPI Reports	August <u>Core Meetings:</u> Academic Affairs; Assessment Task Force		August Analysis & Program Planning: Program assessment leads and program faculty Reports: Annual Program Assessment Reports due August 31		August Meeting: Provost: Institutional MOU (late August or early September); Final draft of Plan presented; Budgeting agreements made	
Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins	Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins	Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins	Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins	Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins	Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins	Jan 1 Fiscal Year Begins

TABLE 3: Committees and Members—TCPP [Updated August 1, 2017]

Update Notes for Table 3:

• One Assessment Task Force member removed (from College of Health Sciences)

Committee	Members	Launched	Frequency	Duties/Purpose
College of	Marco Curiel, TCPP	May 2017	Bimonthly	Regular receipt, review, and
Education	Dr. Joy Abuyen, MAED (& current elementary teacher)			planning regarding session-by-
Curriculum	Dr. Elizabeth Archer, Assoc. Provost, Accreditation & Curriculum			session data reports and
Committee	Dr. Jennifer Newmann, Provost			curricular issues.
TCPP Program	Marco Curiel, TCPP	June 2017	Semester	Sharing of data and results of
Improvement	Dr. Joy Abuyen, MAED (& current elementary teacher)		(& as	reports with core TCPP faculty
Committee	<u>TCPP Faculty</u> :		needed)	for analysis and
	Carol Jenkins			recommendations in support of
	Ray Pacheco			program improvement planning.
	Norma Lopez			
	Jill Bright			
Assessment	Dr. Elizabeth Archer, Assoc. Provost, Accreditation & Curriculum	March	Monthly	Coordination and support for
Task Force	Marco Curiel, TCPP	2016		learning outcomes assessment
	Dr. Joy Abuyen, MAED			activities. Every program is
	Dr. Yuki Mun, BAM			represented by its lead faculty
	Dr. Julio Garibay, MBA			on the committee. TCPP joined
	Dr. Kamal Gandhi, BSHS			this group in March, 2017.
	Dr. Mira Zivkovic, MSHS-[program closing]			
	Dr. George Peraza-Smith, MSN-FNP			
	Dr. Jennifer Newmann, Provost			
Academic	<u>Permanent Members</u> :	May 2017	Bimonthly	Incorporation of TCPP feedback
Strategic	Dr. Jennifer Newmann, Provost			and planning into institutional
Planning	Dr. Elizabeth Archer, Assoc. Provost, Accreditation & Curriculum			academic planning.
Committee	Rotating Members:			
	Dr. Julio Garibay, MBA			
	Dr. Kamal Gandhi, BSHS			